

# Integer programming and totally unimodular matrices

[E.de Klerk](#)

Delft University of Technology

Week 7: Slides about Chapter 8 of the Lecture notes.

## The matching polytope: representation

Recall from week 2:

**Theorem:** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be bipartite. Then  $P_{\text{matching}}(G)$  is the set of vectors  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}$  which satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}x_e &\geq 0 \text{ for each } e \in E; \\ \sum_{e \ni v} x_e &\leq 1 \text{ for each } v \in V\end{aligned}$$

Proof via Exercises 3.11 and 3.26.

Maximal weighted matching as linear optimization problem:

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}} w^T x$$

subject to the above constraints.

## Integer programming

---

Integer program:

$$\max \{c^T x \mid Ax = b, x \text{ integer}\}$$

### Example

Finding maximal cardinality matching. Define the *incidence matrix*:

$$A_{v,e} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in e \\ 0 & \text{if } v \notin e. \end{cases}$$

Size of the maximal matching:

$$\nu(G) = \max \{ \mathbf{1}^T x \mid Ax \leq \mathbf{1}, x \geq 0, x \text{ integer} \}$$

Recall from Exercise 3.26, 3.27 that we can *drop the integrality condition* for *bipartite graphs*.

We can do this because all the vertices of the *matching polytope* are *integer* for *bipartite graphs*.

## Totally unimodular matrices

---

A matrix  $A$  is *totally unimodular* if every *square submatrix* has determinant 0, 1, or  $-1$ .

**NB:** Every entry of  $A$  is 0, 1, or  $-1$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $A$  be totally unimodular and  $b$  an integer vector. The polytope

$$P := \{x \mid Ax \leq b\}$$

is integer (all vertices are integer).

**Proof:**

Let  $A$  be  $m \times n$ . Every vertex  $z$  is determined by some  $n \times n$  nonsingular submatrix  $A'$  in the sense  $A'z = b'$  where  $b'$  contains the corresponding rows of  $b$ . Now use Cramer's rule to solve for  $z$ .

## Total unimodularity and bipartite graphs

**Theorem:** A graph is **bipartite** if and only if its **incidence matrix is totally unimodular**.

### **Proof:**

‘ $\Leftarrow$ ’: Let  $A$  totally unimodular. Assume  $G$  **not bipartite** then  $G$  contains an **odd cycle** (why?). The submatrix of  $A$  which corresponds to the odd cycle has determinant  $2$ ; this contradicts the total unimodularity of  $A$ .

‘ $\Rightarrow$ ’: Let  $G$  be bipartite. Consider a  $t \times t$  submatrix of  $A$ . Proof by induction on  $t$ . ( $t = 1$  follows from the definition of the incidence matrix.)

## Corollaries: König's theorems

---

**König Matching Theorem:** Let  $G$  be bipartite. Cardinality of maximal matching = cardinality of minimal vertex cover ( $\nu(G) = \tau(G)$ ).

**Proof:** We know that the matching number is given by

$$\nu(G) = \max \{ \mathbf{1}^T x \mid Ax \leq \mathbf{1}, x \geq 0 \}.$$

Dual problem:

$$d^* = \min \{ \mathbf{1}^T y \mid y \geq 0, A^T y \geq \mathbf{1} \}.$$

By LP duality  $d^* = \nu(G)$ .

Let  $y$  be the *incidence vector* of the minimal vertex cover. Then  $y$  is feasible in the dual problem (why?) with objective value  $\tau(G)$ . Assume  $y$  not optimal. Then there is some  $0-1$  optimal solution (why?) with objective value  $d^* < \tau(G)$ . This  $0-1$  solution must be the incidence vector of a vertex cover. Contradiction.

### Corollaries: König's theorems (ctd)

**König Edge Cover Theorem:** Let  $G$  be bipartite. Cardinality of maximal coclique = cardinality of minimal edge cover ( $\alpha(G) = \rho(G)$ ).

#### **Proof:**

Same as previous proof: only replace  $A$  by  $A^T$ .

## Incidence matrix of a directed graph

Let  $D = (V, A)$  a directed graph.

Define the  $|V| \times |A|$  *incidence matrix*:

$$M_{v,a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ leaves } v, \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ enters } v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**NB:** Every column of  $M$  has exactly one 1 and one  $-1$ , the other elements are zeroes.



## Total unimodularity and directed graphs

**Theorem:** The incidence matrix  $M$  of a directed graph  $D$  is totally unimodular.

### **Proof:**

Let  $B$  be a  $t \times t$  square submatrix of  $M$ . Proof by induction on  $t$  (case  $t = 1$  trivial). Three cases:

- **Case 1:**  $B$  has a zero column  $\Rightarrow \det(B) = 0$ .
- **Case 2:**  $B$  has a column with exactly one  $1$ . Calculate  $\det(B)$  using this column and use the induction assumption.
- **Case 3:** Every column of  $B$  has one  $1$  and one  $-1$ . The row vectors of  $B$  add up to the zero vector  $\Rightarrow \det(B) = 0$ .

## Flows and total unimodularity

---

Given: directed graph  $D = (V, A)$ .

Recall from week 3: A function  $x : A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $r - s$  flow if:

$$x(a) \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in A$$

and

$$\sum_{a \in \delta^{in}(v)} x(a) = \sum_{a \in \delta^{out}(v)} x(a) \quad \forall v \in V \setminus \{r, s\},$$

Second condition is *flow conservation*: in-flow = out-flow in each node.

In terms of the incidence matrix  $M$ , the flow conservation can be written as:

$$M'x = 0,$$

where  $M'$  is obtained from  $M$  by deleting rows  $r$  and  $s$ .

## Flows and total unimodularity (ctd)

---

We can now prove the '**max  $r - s$  flow = min capacity  $r - s$  cut**' theorem. Let  $w^T$  be row  $r$  of  $M$ , and  $M'$  the matrix obtained by removing the rows corresponding to  $r$  and  $s$ .

Maximal  $r - s$  flow given by:

$$\max \{ w^T x \mid x \leq c, M'x = 0, x \geq 0 \},$$

where  $c$  is the **integer capacity vector** for the arcs.

**Dual problem:**

$$\min \{ y^T c \mid M'^T z + y \geq w, y \geq 0 \}.$$

Rewrite dual constraints:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M'^T & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ y \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Coefficient matrix is **TUM** (why?)

## Flows and total unimodularity (ctd)

---

Dual problem has integer solution  $y, z$  because the coefficient matrix is TUM. Define

$$W := \{v \in V \setminus \{r, s\} \mid z_v \leq -1\} \cup \{r\}.$$

We now show that

$$c(\delta^{out}(W)) = y^T c \quad (= \text{max flow})$$

by showing

$$\text{if } a = (u, v) \in \delta^{out}(W) \text{ then } y_a \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

Expand the vector  $z$  to include  $r, s$  by defining:

$$\tilde{z}_v = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } v = r \\ 0 & \text{if } v = s \\ z_v & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now  $M^T \tilde{z} + y \geq 0$ . If  $a = (u, v) \in \delta^{out}(W)$  then  $y_a + \tilde{z}_u - \tilde{z}_v \geq 0$  which implies (1).

## Interval matrices

An *interval matrix* has  $0 - 1$  entries and each row is of the form

$$(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\text{consecutive 1's}}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

**Theorem:** Each interval matrix  $A$  is totally unimodular.

**Proof:** Let  $B$  be a  $t \times t$  submatrix of  $A$  and let

$$N := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\det(N) = 1$ . Now  $NB^T$  is (a submatrix of) the *incidence matrix of some directed graph* (why?) Therefore  $NB^T$  is *totally unimodular* and

$$\{0, \pm 1\} \ni \det(NB^T) = \det(N) \det(B^T) = \det(B).$$