

# List edge colourings of some 1-factorable multigraphs

M. N. Ellingham<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, 1326 Stevenson Center  
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37240, U. S. A.  
mne@math.vanderbilt.edu*

Luis Goddyn<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B. C., V5A 1S6, Canada  
goddyn@math.sfu.ca*

## Abstract

The List Edge Colouring Conjecture asserts that, given any multigraph  $G$  with chromatic index  $k$  and any set system  $\{S_e : e \in E(G)\}$  with each  $|S_e| = k$ , we can choose elements  $s_e \in S_e$  such that  $s_e \neq s_f$  whenever  $e$  and  $f$  are adjacent edges. Using a technique of Alon and Tarsi which involves the graph monomial  $\prod\{x_u - x_v : uv \in E\}$  of an oriented graph, we verify this conjecture for certain families of 1-factorable multigraphs, including 1-factorable planar graphs.

Keywords: list edge colouring, choosability, 1-factorization, graph polynomial, graph monomial, planar graphs, regular graphs.

AMS Classification numbers: 05C15, (05C70, 05C10).

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph (with multiple edges allowed). A proper (vertex) colouring of  $G$  is a function on  $V$  for which adjacent vertices receive distinct values. A *proper  $k$ -colouring* is a proper colouring whose range is a subset of  $[k] := \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ . With this definition, two distinct proper  $k$ -colourings of  $G$  may induce the same partition of  $V(G)$ . A graph is  *$k$ -colourable* if it has a proper  $k$ -colouring. The following concept was introduced by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [5]. Let  $a : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . We say that  $G$  is  *$a$ -choosable* or  *$a$ -list colourable* if for every set system  $\{S_v : v \in V\}$  such that  $|S_v| = a(v)$ , there is a proper colouring  $c$  such that  $c(v) \in S_v$  for  $v \in V(G)$ . In case  $a$  is the constant function  $a(v) \equiv k$ , we say that  $G$  is  *$k$ -choosable*. The terms  *$k$ -edge colourable*,  *$a$ -edge choosable* and  *$k$ -edge choosable* are defined in an analogous way. If a graph is  $k$ -choosable, then it is  $k$ -colourable, but not conversely, as shown by  $K_{3,3}$  which is not 2-choosable. In contrast, we have the following.

**Conjecture 1.1 (List Edge Colouring Conjecture)** *If  $G$  is a  $k$ -edge colourable multigraph, then  $G$  is  $k$ -edge choosable.*

This conjecture seems to have been arrived at independently by several people. It has been verified for the class of bipartite graphs [7], and also for complete graphs of odd order [8]. An excellent survey appears in [1]. Further results and historical comments may be found in [3, 4]. Our main result verifies this conjecture for a class of planar graphs.

**Theorem 1.2** *If  $G$  is a  $d$ -regular  $d$ -edge colourable planar multigraph, then  $G$  is  $d$ -edge choosable.*

The Four Colour Theorem is equivalent to the statement that every 2-connected 3-regular planar graph is 3-edge colourable. Theorem 1.2 therefore implies that the Four Colour Theorem is equivalent to the statement that every 2-connected 3-regular planar graph is 3-edge choosable. This was observed independently by F. Jaeger and M. Tarsi [personal communication]. For  $d \geq 4$ , the question of which  $d$ -regular planar multigraphs are  $d$ -edge colourable has not yet been resolved. Seymour [15] and others have proposed conjectures that would imply that any  $d$ -edge connected  $d$ -regular planar multigraph of even order is  $d$ -edge colourable, and hence, by Theorem 1.2,  $d$ -edge choosable.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by the University Research Council of Vanderbilt University and NSERC Canada.

<sup>2</sup>Supported by NSERC Canada.

Our main tool is a result of Alon and Tarsi [2] which relates choosability to coefficients in a certain polynomial. Let  $D$  be an orientation of  $G$ . The *graph monomial* of  $G$  is the homogeneous polynomial  $\epsilon(G)$  with variables  $\{x_v : v \in V(G)\}$  and defined by

$$\epsilon(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(D)} (x_u - x_v).$$

(Some authors call  $\epsilon(G)$  the *graph polynomial*, but we abandon this overused term in favour of that used by Sabidussi [13].) As we have defined it,  $\epsilon(G)$  depends on a particular orientation  $D$  of  $G$ ; however changing the orientation multiplies  $\epsilon(G)$  by  $\pm 1$ , so  $\epsilon(G)$  is unique up to sign. The graph monomial was first used by Petersen [12]; indeed Petersen gave *order*, *degree* and *factor* their graph theoretical meanings by reference to  $\epsilon(G)$ . Scheim [14] used  $\epsilon(G)$  to prove some results about 3-edge colourings of 3-regular planar graphs; our Theorem 1.2 extends one of his results. Li and Li [10] mention  $\epsilon(G)$  in the context of determining the independence number of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.3 (Alon and Tarsi [2])** *Let  $a : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . If the coefficient of  $\prod_{v \in V(G)} x_v^{a(v)-1}$  in  $\epsilon(G)$  is nonzero, then  $G$  is  $a$ -choosable.*

Scheim’s paper [14] contains much of the reasoning needed to prove this theorem; however, he was working before the introduction of the idea of list colourings, and did not state his results in full generality. Alon and Tarsi [2] give combinatorial interpretations of the coefficients of  $\epsilon(G)$ , and use Theorem 1.3 to investigate the (vertex) choosability of planar graphs and bipartite graphs. Fleischner and Stiebitz [6] use Alon and Tarsi’s results to solve a conjecture of Erdős regarding the 3-vertex colourability of certain 4-regular graphs. Penrose [11] states the case  $d = 3$  of Theorem 3.1 in terms of “abstract tensor systems”.

## 2 Interpreting the Coefficient

In order to study edge choosability one applies Theorem 1.3 to line graphs. The *line graph*  $L(G)$  of a multigraph  $G$  has  $V(L(G)) = E(G)$  with an edge joining  $e$  to  $f$  in  $L(G)$  for each common endpoint that  $e$  and  $f$  have in  $G$ . Thus, every pair of parallel edges in  $G$  is joined by *two* edges in  $L(G)$ . For regular  $G$ , the coefficient of  $\epsilon(L(G))$  which is of interest has several nice combinatorial interpretations, some of which are implicit in [2] and explicitly described by N. Alon in the preamble to Proposition 3.8 of [1].

From here on,  $G$  is a  $d$ -regular multigraph. Let  $\xi(G)$  denote the coefficient of  $\prod_{e \in E(G)} x_e^{d-1}$  in  $\epsilon(L(G))$ . If  $\xi(G) \neq 0$ , then  $G$  is  $d$ -edge choosable, and thus the List Edge Colouring Conjecture holds true for  $G$ .

The set of edges  $\delta(v)$  incident with each vertex  $v$  of  $G$  can be ordered with a *star labelling at  $v$* , a bijection  $\pi_v : \delta(v) \rightarrow [d]$ . A *global star labelling* is a set  $\pi = \{\pi_v : v \in V(G)\}$ . We assume that  $G$  comes with a fixed global star labelling  $\rho = \rho(G) = \{\rho_v\}$ , called the *reference labelling* of  $G$ , with which other star labellings will be compared. In particular, the *sign* of a star labelling  $\pi_v$  (relative to  $\rho$ ) is the sign of the permutation  $\pi_v \circ \rho_v^{-1}$ , and is denoted  $\text{sign}_\rho(\pi_v)$ , or sometimes just  $\text{sign}(\pi_v)$ . The sign of a global star labelling  $\pi$  is defined as  $\text{sign}(\pi) = \prod_{v \in V(G)} \text{sign}(\pi_v)$ .

Star labellings allow us to assign signs to other combinatorial objects in  $G$ . A  $k$ -factor in  $G$  is a  $k$ -regular spanning subgraph of  $G$ . Let  $p = \lceil d/2 \rceil$ . An *ordered (near) 2-factorization* of  $G$  is an ordered partition  $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{p-1})$  of  $E(G)$ , where each  $F_i$  is a 2-factor, unless  $d$  is odd, in which case  $F_{p-1}$  is a 1-factor (hence the word “near”). An *orientation*  $\Phi$  of  $\mathbf{F}$  is an orientation of  $G$  so that each  $F_i$  becomes a union  $\Phi_i$  of directed circuits, except that when  $d$  is odd  $\Phi_{p-1} = F_{p-1}$  remains an unoriented 1-factor. Let  $\text{OOB2F}(G)$  denote the set of oriented ordered (near) 2-factorizations of  $G$  in which each 2-factor is bipartite, i.e. a union of even circuits. For each  $\Phi \in \text{OOB2F}(G)$ , there is an associated global star labelling  $\pi$ : given  $uv \in \Phi_i$  oriented from  $u$  to  $v$ , we set  $\pi_u(uv) = i$  and  $\pi_v(uv) = d - 1 - i$ , or if  $d$  is odd and  $uv \in \Phi_{p-1}$  then  $\pi_u(uv) = \pi_v(uv) = (d - 1)/2$ . We define

$\text{sign}(\Phi) = \text{sign}_\rho(\Phi)$  to be  $\text{sign}(\pi)$ . As shown in [1],

$$\xi(G) = \pm \sum_{\Phi \in \text{OOB2F}(G)} \text{sign}(\Phi). \quad (1)$$

Let  $\text{B2F}(G)$  denote the set of unordered and unoriented bipartite (near) 2-factorizations of  $G$ . For any  $F \in \text{B2F}(G)$ , we can define  $\text{sign}(F) = \text{sign}_\rho(F)$  to be  $\text{sign}(\Phi)$  for any orientation  $\Phi$  of any ordering of  $F$ . All such  $\Phi$  have the same sign, because reversing the orientation of an even circuit changes the sign at an even number of vertices, and swapping two 2-factors swaps two pairs of edges at each vertex. If  $\omega(F)$  is the total number of circuits in all of the 2-factors in  $F$ , then there are  $2^{\omega(F)}$  orientations of each of the  $[d/2]!$  orderings of  $F$ , so that (1) may be rewritten as

$$\xi(G) = \pm [d/2]! \sum_{F \in \text{B2F}(G)} \text{sign}(F) 2^{\omega(F)}. \quad (2)$$

The coefficient  $\xi(G)$  may also be interpreted in terms of edge colourings of  $G$ . Let  $\text{EC}_d(G)$  denote the set of proper  $d$ -edge colourings  $c : E(G) \rightarrow [d]$ . Each  $c \in \text{EC}_d(G)$  induces a global star labelling  $\tau = \tau(c)$  where for each edge  $e = uv$ ,  $\tau_u(e) = \tau_v(e) = c(e)$ . We define the sign of  $c$  (with respect to  $\rho(G)$ ) by  $\text{sign}(c) = \text{sign}(\tau(c))$ . As explained in [1], there is a bijection between  $\text{OOB2F}(G)$  and  $\text{EC}_d(G)$  which preserves all or reverses all signs, giving

$$\xi(G) = \pm \sum_{c \in \text{EC}_d(G)} \text{sign}(c). \quad (3)$$

Let  $\text{1F}(G)$  denote the set of unordered 1-factorizations of  $G$ . Each  $f \in \text{1F}(G)$  corresponds to an equivalence class of  $d!$  edge colourings in  $\text{EC}_d(G)$  under permutations of the colours  $[d]$ . As interchanging two colours in  $c$  introduces exactly  $|V(G)|$  transpositions in  $\tau(c)$ , equivalent colourings in  $\text{EC}_d(G)$  have equal sign. Thus a sign function is well defined on  $\text{1F}(G)$ .

$$\xi(G) = \pm d! \sum_{f \in \text{1F}(G)} \text{sign}(f) \quad (4)$$

There is a coarser equivalence relation on  $\text{EC}_d(G)$  on whose parts a sign function can be defined. An *elementary Kempe recolouring* of  $c \in \text{EC}_d(G)$  exchanges the colours  $i$  and  $j$  on the edges of a single component circuit of the 2-factor  $c^{-1}(i) \cup c^{-1}(j)$ , for some distinct  $i, j \in [d]$ . Two elements of  $\text{EC}_d(G)$  (or  $\text{1F}(G)$ ) are *Kempe equivalent* if one can be obtained from the other by a sequence of elementary Kempe recolourings. Let  $\text{KE}(G)$  denote the set of *Kempe (equivalence) classes* of proper  $d$ -edge colourings of  $G$ . As with 1-factorizations, Kempe equivalent colourings have the same sign, and the sign of a Kempe class is well defined.

$$\xi(G) = \pm \sum_{\kappa \in \text{KE}(G)} \text{sign}(\kappa) |\kappa| \quad (5)$$

We summarize with a list of sufficient conditions for a graph to be  $d$ -edge choosable.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular multigraph. Suppose that at least one of the following holds.*

- (i)  $G$  has an odd number of distinct 1-factorizations,
- (ii)  $G$  is 1-factorable and any two 1-factorizations are Kempe equivalent,
- (iii)  $G$  is 1-factorable and any two 1-factorizations have the same sign, or
- (iv) the number of  $F \in \text{B2F}(G)$  which minimize the total number  $\omega(F)$  of circuits in all of the 2-factors in  $F$  is odd.

Then  $\xi(G) \neq 0$ , and as a consequence  $G$  is  $d$ -edge choosable.

**Proof.** Claims (i) and (iii) follow immediately from (4), while (ii) follows from (5). If (iv) holds then the sum in (2) is non-zero modulo  $2^{\omega_0+1}$ , where  $\omega_0 = \min\{\omega(F) : F \in \text{B2F}(G)\}$ . ■

Note that condition (ii) implies condition (iii). We illustrate with some examples of  $d$ -regular graphs which are  $d$ -edge choosable by Theorem 2.1. The skeleton of the 3-cube has four distinct 1-factorizations, but they are all Kempe equivalent; thus (ii) applies, although (i) does not. The generalized Petersen graph  $P(9, 2)$  has a unique 1-factorization [16], and so (i) and (ii) both apply. Larger generalized Petersen graphs  $P(6k + 3, 2)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , are not uniquely 1-factorable, but have exactly three Hamilton circuits [16]. Thus  $\omega(F)$  is minimum (equal to 1) for exactly three  $F \in \text{B2F}(G)$ . These provide an examples of (iv) whereas (i), (ii) and (iii) may not hold. The 8-vertex Möbius ladder (which may be thought of as an octagon with all four long diagonals added) has exactly three 1-factorizations, and they are all Kempe equivalent; therefore (i) and (ii) both apply. The skeleton of the dodecahedron has exactly ten 1-factorizations, each in its own Kempe class and all of the same sign; thus (iii) applies. The even complete graphs  $K_{2r}$  satisfy (iii) for  $r \leq 3$ , but not for  $r \geq 4$ . It appears likely that  $\xi(K_{2r})$  is never zero (we have verified this electronically for  $r \leq 5$ ), though this is probably a difficult problem. It is not even known whether the List Colouring Conjecture holds for  $K_{2r}$ . Similarly, we expect that  $\xi(K_{2r, 2r})$  is never zero (as has been verified for  $r \leq 5$  by J. Janssen [private communication]), although (iii) holds only for  $r \leq 2$ .

In the next section we show that all 1-factorizations of a regular planar multigraph have the same sign. In contrast,  $K_{3,3}$  has exactly one 1-factorization of each sign, thus  $\xi(K_{3,3}) = 0$ . (Even so,  $K_{3,3}$  is 3-edge choosable as it is bipartite [7].) This is a special case of the situation for  $K_{d,d}$  with  $d \geq 3$  odd, which is discussed in [2]. More generally we have the following.

**Proposition 2.2** *If  $G$  is  $d$ -regular, with  $d$  odd, and there exist distinct vertices  $v, v'$  with identical neighbourhoods, then  $\xi(G) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** We consider the involution on  $\text{EC}_d(G)$  which interchanges the colours of  $vw$  and  $v'w$ , for each neighbour  $w$  of  $v$ . This involution is fixed-point free and, as  $d$  is odd, is sign-reversing. Thus by (3),  $\xi(G) = 0$ . ■

We briefly describe two operations which can be used to produce regular multigraphs  $G$  with  $\xi(G) = 0$ . Let  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  be disjoint  $d$ -regular multigraphs of even order, and let  $v_i \in V(G_i)$  and  $e_i \in E(G_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . We form a new  $d$ -regular multigraph  $H$  from  $(G_0 - v_0) \cup (G_1 - v_1)$  by adding  $d$  new edges, each joining a neighbour of  $v_0$  to a neighbour of  $v_1$ . We also form a new  $d$ -regular multigraph  $K$  from  $(G_0 - e_0) \cup (G_1 - e_1)$  by adding two new edges, each joining an endpoint of  $e_0$  to an endpoint of  $e_1$ . Using (3), one can show that  $\xi(H) = \pm \xi(G_0)\xi(G_1)/d!$  and that  $\xi(K) = \pm \xi(G_0)\xi(G_1)/d$ . Thus  $\xi(H) = \xi(K) = 0$  provided that  $\xi(G_0) = 0$ . Pavol Gvozdjak (personal communication) has found a Hamiltonian cubic graph  $G$  with  $\chi(G) = 0$ , but which does not arise from Proposition 2.2 nor either of these two operations. We do not know whether this graph is 3-edge colourable.

### 3 Regular planar multigraphs

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 by showing the following.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular planar multigraph,  $d \geq 1$ . Then all 1-factorizations of  $G$  have the same sign. Hence  $|\xi(G)|$  is precisely the number of proper  $d$ -edge colourings of  $G$ .*

The case  $d = 3$  of this theorem was proved by Scheim [14], and can also be deduced from a result of Vigneron [17] (see also Jaeger [9]) together with observations of Alon and Tarsi [2] relating the coefficients of  $\epsilon(G)$  to eulerian orientations of  $G$ . We leave as unsolved the problem of determining which graphs satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 3.1.

Roughly, we prove this theorem by giving a ‘geometric’ interpretation of  $\text{sign}(\Phi)$  in (1), and then using the topology of the plane to deduce that this sign is always positive. We use terminology and notation from Section 2. Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular graph embedded on an orientable surface. For  $v \in V(G)$ , a star labelling  $\pi_v$  is said to be *clockwise* if the edges are labelled in clockwise ascending order around  $v$ . A global star labelling  $\pi = \{\pi_v\}$  of  $G$  is *clockwise* if each of its members is clockwise. From here on we assume the reference labelling  $\rho(G)$  to be clockwise. Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{p-1}) \in \text{OOB2F}(G)$  and let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$ . For  $\Phi_i \in \Phi$  we denote by  $\Phi_i(v)$  the connected component of  $\Phi_i$  which contains  $v$ ;

thus  $\Phi_i(v)$  is either an edge or a directed circuit. Two oriented 2-factors  $\Phi_i, \Phi_j \in \Phi$  are said to *cross* at  $v$  if the circuits  $\Phi_i(v), \Phi_j(v)$  geometrically cross at  $v$ . We say that an edge  $e \in \delta(v) \setminus E(\Phi_i)$  lies *to the right* of  $\Phi_i$  (at  $v$ ) if  $e$  lies geometrically on the right as  $\Phi_i(v)$  is traversed through  $v$ . Similarly, if  $v$  lies on the boundary of a face  $R$  of the embedding, then  $R$  is *to the left* of  $\Phi_i$  (at  $v$ ) if  $R$  lies geometrically on the left as  $\Phi_i(v)$  is traversed through  $v$ . It is important to note that the terms ‘cross’ and ‘to the left/right’ can equally well (though more clumsily) be defined purely in terms of  $\Phi$  and  $\rho(G)$ , without reference to any embedding of  $G$ . For example, a face  $R$  is specified by a pair of edges in  $\delta(v)$  having consecutive  $\rho_v$ -labels (modulo  $d$ ); two 2-factors  $\Phi_i$  and  $\Phi_j$  cross at  $v$  if some cyclic rotation of the sequence  $\rho_v \circ \pi_v^{-1}(i), \rho_v \circ \pi_v^{-1}(j), \rho_v \circ \pi_v^{-1}(d-1-i), \rho_v \circ \pi_v^{-1}(d-1-j)$  is monotone, where  $\pi$  is the global star labelling associated with  $\Phi$ .

We define three invariants which determine the sign of  $\Phi$  (relative to  $\rho(G)$ ). Let  $v \in V(G)$ . We denote by  $x(\Phi, v)$  the number of unordered pairs of 2-factors in  $\Phi$  which cross at  $v$ . If  $d \geq 1$  is odd, then we define the *root edge*  $e_v$  to be the edge  $\Phi_{p-1}(v)$ ; we let  $r(\Phi, v)$  denote the number of oriented 2-factors  $\Phi_i \in \Phi$  for which  $e_v$  lies to the right of  $\Phi_i$  at  $v$ . If  $d \geq 2$  is even, then we define the *root face*  $R_v$  to be the face specified by the  $\rho_v$ -labels 0 and  $d-1$ ; we let  $l(\Phi, v)$  denote the number of oriented 2-factors  $\Phi_i \in \Phi$  for which  $R_v$  lies to the left of  $\Phi_i$  at  $v$ . Finally, we set  $x(\Phi) := \sum_{v \in V(G)} x(\Phi, v)$ ,  $r(\Phi) := \sum_{v \in V(G)} r(\Phi, v)$ , and  $l(\Phi) := \sum_{v \in V(G)} l(\Phi, v)$ .

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular multigraph with reference labelling  $\rho$ . For any oriented ordered (near) 2-factorization  $\Phi$  of  $G$  we have  $\text{sign}(\Phi) = (-1)^{x(\Phi)+r(\Phi)}$  or  $\text{sign}(\Phi) = (-1)^{x(\Phi)+l(\Phi)}$  according to whether  $d$  is odd or even.*

**Proof.** Given any star labelling  $\pi_v$ , let  $\Phi(v)$  denote the oriented ordered partition of  $\delta(v)$  whose  $i$ th part is the directed path with edges  $\pi_v^{-1}(d-1-i)$  followed by  $\pi_v^{-1}(i)$ , except that when  $d$  is odd the  $(p-1)$ th part is the unoriented root edge  $e_v = \pi_v^{-1}(p-1)$ . In general,  $x(\Phi, v)$  equals the number of pairs of paths in  $\Phi(v)$  which cross, and  $r(\Phi, v)$  ( $l(\Phi, v)$ ) is the number of such paths for which  $e_v$  ( $R_v$ ) lies to the right (left).

Let  $\pi$  be the global star labelling associated with  $\Phi$ . For each  $v$ ,  $\Phi(v)$  is just the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\delta(v)$ . A  $\rho$ -consecutive transposition of  $\pi_v$  is any transposition which exchanges the  $\pi_v$ -labels on any two edges in  $\delta(v)$  whose  $\rho_v$ -labels differ by exactly one. The sign of  $\pi_v$  is determined by the length of a sequence  $S$  of such transpositions which transforms  $\pi_v$  into  $\rho_v$ . In case  $d$  is odd, a  $\rho$ -consecutive transposition of  $\pi_v$  corresponds to a modification of  $\Phi(v)$  which does exactly one of two things. First, it may cross or uncross exactly one pair of dipaths in  $\Phi(v)$ . Second, it may transfer  $e_v$  from one side of exactly one such dipath to its other side. By definition, if  $\pi_v = \rho_v$ , then  $x(\Phi, v) = r(\Phi, v) = 0$ . Thus  $x(\Phi, v) + r(\Phi, v)$  is congruent to the number of transpositions in  $S$  (modulo 2), so  $\text{sign}(\pi_v) = (-1)^{x(\Phi, v) + r(\Phi, v)}$ . Thus  $\text{sign}(\Phi) = \prod_{v \in V(G)} (-1)^{x(\Phi, v) + r(\Phi, v)} = (-1)^{x(\Phi) + r(\Phi)}$ . The  $d$ -even case is exactly analogous, writing  $l$  and  $R_v$  in place of  $r$  and  $e_v$ . ■

We remark here on an essential difference between the  $d$ -odd and  $d$ -even cases. The root edge  $e_v$  is determined by  $\Phi$  whereas the root face  $R_v$  is defined by  $\rho(G)$ . There appears to be no way of resolving this dichotomy.

A *plane* graph is a specific embedding of a planar graph in the plane. To prove Theorem 3.1 it suffices, by (1), to show that  $x(\Phi)$ ,  $r(\Phi)$  and  $l(\Phi)$  are even, for any  $\Phi \in \text{OOB2F}(G)$ , whenever  $G$  is plane and  $\rho(G)$  is clockwise. This (essentially) is proved in the next three lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a plane  $d$ -regular multigraph with a clockwise reference labelling  $\rho$ . Then  $x(\Phi)$  is even for any oriented ordered (near) 2-factorization  $\Phi$  of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x_{ij}$  denote the number of vertices at which two oriented 2-factors  $\Phi_i, \Phi_j \in \Phi$  cross. As any two edge-disjoint circuits in the plane geometrically cross an even number of times, each  $x_{ij}$  is even and thus  $x(\Phi) = \sum_{ij} x_{ij}$  is even. ■

In contrast to  $x(\Phi)$ , both  $r(\Phi)$  and  $l(\Phi)$  depend on the particular orientation  $\Phi$  of the underlying (near) 2-factorization  $F$ . However, their parities are not affected by reorientation, provided that each of the 2-factors in  $F$  is bipartite. In case  $d$  is odd, we use the following simple observation whose proof is omitted.

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $G$  be a plane 3-regular multigraph, and let  $C$  be a circuit of  $G$ . Let  $i$  be the number of vertices of  $C$  incident with an edge inside  $C$ , and  $j$  the number of vertices of  $G$  inside  $C$ . Then  $i \equiv j \pmod{2}$ .*

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular plane multigraph, where  $d \geq 1$  is odd, and suppose that  $\rho(G)$  is clockwise. Then  $r(\Phi)$  is even, for any oriented ordered bipartite near 2-factorization  $\Phi$  of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** For  $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ , let  $r_i$  be the number of vertices  $v$  for which the root edge  $e_v$  is to the right of the oriented 2-factor  $\Phi_i \in \Phi$ . As  $r(\Phi) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} r_i$ , it suffices to show that each  $r_i$  is even. For each  $i$  we argue as follows. We may assume that each circuit  $C$  in  $\Phi_i$  is oriented clockwise so that ‘to the right of  $\Phi_i$ ’ is equivalent to ‘inside  $C$ ’. For any circuit  $C$  in  $\Phi_i$ , the vertices of  $G$  inside  $C$  are the vertices of a union of even circuits in  $\Phi_i$ . Thus an even number of vertices of  $G$  lie inside  $C$ . Applying Proposition 3.4 to the (undirected) 3-regular subgraph of  $G$  induced by the edges in  $\Phi_i \cup \Phi_{p-1}$ , there are an even number of vertices  $v$  in  $C$  for which  $e_v$  lies inside of  $C$ . As  $\Phi_i$  is a disjoint union of circuits  $C$ ,  $r_i$  is even as required. ■

For the  $d$ -even case, we need some preliminary definitions. Let  $G$  be a plane graph, and  $C$  a circuit in  $G$ . We say that  $C$  *surrounds* a vertex  $v$  (or face  $R$ ) if  $v$  (or  $R$ ) is contained within the bounded region of  $\mathbb{R}^2 - C$ . If  $H$  is a 2-factor of  $G$  and  $v$  is a vertex of  $G$ , let  $s(v, H)$  be the number of component circuits in  $H$  that surround  $v$ ; define  $s(R, H)$  similarly for a face  $R$ . Suppose  $G$  is a  $d$ -regular plane multigraph, where  $d = 2p$  is even. Then the plane dual of  $G$  is bipartite, and we can properly 2-face-colour  $G$ , using colours 0 and 1, so that the outer face is coloured 0. If  $G$  has a 2-factorization  $F = \{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{p-1}\}$ , then it is not difficult to see that every face  $R$  receives the colour obtained by reducing modulo 2 the sum  $s(R, F_0) + s(R, F_1) + \dots + s(R, F_{p-1})$ . We say that the reference labelling  $\rho(G)$  is *0-consistent* if it is clockwise and each root face  $R_v$ ,  $v \in V(G)$  is coloured 0.

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular plane multigraph, where  $d \geq 2$  is even, and suppose that  $\rho(G)$  is 0-consistent. Then  $l(\Phi)$  is even, for any oriented ordered bipartite 2-factorization  $\Phi$  of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** We may assume that each component circuit  $C$  in  $\Phi_i$  is oriented anticlockwise so that ‘to the left of  $\Phi_i$ ’ is equivalent to ‘inside  $C$ ’. For  $0 \leq i \leq p-1$  and for each vertex  $v$ , let  $l_i(v)$  equal 1 if  $R_v$  lies inside the circuit  $\Phi_i(v)$ , and 0 otherwise. For  $v \in V(G)$  we consider the colour of  $R_v$ , which is the modulo 2 reduction of  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} s(R_v, \Phi_i)$ , and which is also 0, because  $\rho$  is 0-consistent. For each  $i$ ,  $s(R_v, \Phi_i) = s(v, \Phi_i) + l_i(v)$ . Therefore, working modulo 2, the colour of  $R_v$  is

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} s(v, \Phi_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} l_i(v)$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} l_i(v) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} s(v, \Phi_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} l(\Phi) &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} l_i(v) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v \in V(G)} s(v, \Phi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since each component circuit  $C$  of each  $\Phi_i$  has an even number of vertices, and  $s(v, \Phi_i)$  is constant for all vertices of  $C$ , each sum  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} s(v, \Phi_i)$  is even, and so  $l(\Phi)$  is also even, as required. ■

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Noga Alon, Roland Häggkvist and Jarik Nešetřil for useful discussions.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, Restricted colorings of graphs, in “Surveys in Combinatorics”, Proc. 14<sup>th</sup> British Combinatorial Conference, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 187, edited by K. Walker, Cambridge University Press, 1993, 1-33.
- [2] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, Colorings and orientations of graphs, *Combinatorica* **12** (1992), 125–134.
- [3] B. Bollobás and H. R. Hind, A new upper bound for the list chromatic number, *Discrete Math.* **74** (1989) 65–75.
- [4] Amanda Chetwynd and Roland Häggkvist, A note on list-colorings, *J. Graph Theory* **13** (1989) 87–95.
- [5] P. Erdős, A. Rubin and H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, *Congr. Numer.* **26** (1979) 125–157.
- [6] H. Fleischner and M. Stiebitz, A solution to a colouring problem of P. Erdős, *Discrete Math.* **101** (1992), 39–48.
- [7] F. Galvin, The list chromatic index of a bipartite multigraph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **63** (1995), 153–159.
- [8] R. Häggkvist and J. Janssen, New bounds on the list-chromatic index of the complete graph, submitted.
- [9] F. Jaeger, On the Penrose number of cubic diagrams, *Discrete Math.* **74** (1989) 85–97.
- [10] S.-Y. R. Li and W.-C. W. Li, Independence numbers of graphs and generators of ideals, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981) 55–61.
- [11] Roger Penrose, Applications of negative dimensional tensors, in “Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications” Proc. Conf, Oxford, 1969, Academic Press, London, 1971, 221-244.
- [12] Julius Petersen, Die Theorie der regulären graphs, *Acta Math.* **15** (1891) 193–220.
- [13] G. Sabidussi, Binary invariants and orientations of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **101** (1992), 251–277.
- [14] David E. Scheim, The number of edge 3-colorings of a planar cubic graph as a permanent, *Discrete Math.* **8** (1974) 377–382.
- [15] P. D. Seymour, Some unsolved problems on one-factorizations of graphs, *Graph Theory and Related Topics*, edited by J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Academic Press (1979) 367–368.
- [16] Andrew Thomason, Cubic graphs with three hamiltonian cycles are not always uniquely edge colourable, *J. Graph Theory* **6** (1982) 219–221.
- [17] L. Vigneron, Remarques sur les réseaux cubiques de classe 3 associés au problème des quatre couleurs, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **223** (1946), 770-772.